PATENT COOPERATION TREATY.

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
₋ Т ₉ ;		DCT			
			PCT		
James & Wells 9th Floor		•			
6B Cawley Street		wri	TTEN OPINION OF THE		
Ellerslie		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
Auckland NEW ZEALAND					
	:	(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
		Date of mailing			
· .		(day/month/year) 1 0 FEB 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
230320PCX352	•	See paragraph 2 below			
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/NZ2004/000269	28 October 2004	·	28 October 2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Int. Cl. ⁷ B23B 45/02, B25B 21/00, I	B25F 5/00, 5/02, B2	6B 25/00, B27B 9/00)		
Applicant					
IBEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED	et al				
1. This opinion contains indications relati	ing to the following ite	ems:			
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion	1	•			
Box No. II Priority	•				
Box No. III Non-establishment of	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step as	nd industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inve	ention				
X Box No. V Reasoned statement citations and explan	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents of					
Box No. VII Certain defects in th	e international application	n ·	,		
Box No. VIII Certain observations	s on the international app	lication			
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
No. and additional design of the INDEA (AV)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE		Authorized Officer	·		
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA		JASON PREMNATH			
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2127			
1 desimino (10. (02) 0200 3727					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

Box	o. I Basis of the opinion	
À	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the laimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	o. format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
	timé of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:	
		l
		į
		·

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

,	NI - VII /				
ļ.,	x No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
1.	In re	esponse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant	has:		
		paid additional fees			
		paid additional fees under protest			
	. \square	not paid additional fees	• .		
2.	X This appli	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with a icant to pay additional fees.	nd chose not to invite the		
3.	This Autho	rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule	13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is		
		plied with			
	not c	complied with for the following reasons:			
	1. Claim 1 re technical fea	elates to a hand tool. In claim 1 it is considered that "brushless DC motor" ature	comprises the first special		
	2. Claims 2, and ducting	4 - 44 relate to a hand tool. In claims 2, 4 - 44 it is considered that "fluid means" comprises the second special technical feature	inlet port, fluid outlet port		
Since these groups of claims do not share any of the special technical features identified, a technical relationship between the inventions does not exist. Accordingly the claims do not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.					
		•			
			•		
			· .		
4. This opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:					
	X all par	rts			
	the pa	arts relating to claims Nos.	•		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

x No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 44	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
•	Claims 1 - 44	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 - 44	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS)

The invention is not novel and is not inventive when compared with one of the following documents mentioned in the International Search Report.

- D1) US 2003/0121685 (claim 1)
- D2) US 2003/0196824 (claim 1)
- D3) US 6127751(claim 2 44)
- D4) US 6543549 (claim 2 44)

The use of a brushless motor in a hand tool is considered to be very common and considered to lack novelty and inventive step. Further D1 (see abstract) and D2 (see para 0064) disclose all the essential features of the invention claimed in claim 1 in particular a hand tool comprising a brushless DC motor.

D3 discloses all the essential features of the invention claimed in claim 2. D3 discloses a hand tool comprising:

- a) a body (1)
- b) a motor (11)
- c) a void space (4, see the figure) between the motor and the body
- d) a fluid inlet port (5, 6) and a fluid outlet port (8)
- e) a ducting means (3)

Similarly D4 also discloses all the essential features of claim 2.

The additional features mentioned in the claims 3 - 44 are either disclosed in the documents D3 and D4 mentioned above or are considered to be inessential features which do not significantly contribute to the working of the invention.

Accordingly claims 1 - 44 are not novel and considered to lack an inventive step.